

Executive Impairment and MoCA Performance in Patients with MCI and AD

Sharon Song¹, Stephen Correia², Erin Schlicting³, Paul Malloy², Stephen Salloway²

¹Department of Psychology, Brown University; ²Department of Psychiatry and Human Behavior, Brown Medical School ³Department of Psychology, University of Rhode Island



Objective

- To determine if patients with mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and mild Alzheimer's disease (AD) with high vs. low levels of executive impairment perform more poorly on the MoCA than the MMSE.
- To examine the relationship between the MoCA and MMSE and changes in frontal behaviors.

Background

- The MMSE may lack sensitivity to MCI.¹
- MCI patients with executive impairment may be at greatest risk for conversion to a diagnosis of dementia,^{2,3,4} highlighting the need to identify these individuals for early treatment when it might be most effective.⁵
- The MoCA was developed as an alternative to the MMSE and has been shown to differentiate more successfully between cognitively normal individuals and patients with MCI.⁶
- The increased sensitivity of the MoCA to MCI may be due to the additional executive items and more difficult memory assessment.⁶
- We compared the MoCA and MMSE performances of patients with MCI and mild AD with high vs. low levels of executive impairment (eMCI vs. MCI; eAD vs. AD).
- We also examined the relationship between MoCA performance and dysfunction in frontallymediated behaviors.

Hypotheses

- MoCA scores will be significantly lower in the eMCI subgroup than in the MCI subgroup, but MMSE scores will be similar in the two subgroups.
- The eAD and AD subgroups will perform similarly on both the MoCA and the MMSE.
- MoCA scores will be strongly correlated with decline in frontally-mediated behaviors.

Methods

- Participants were normal elderly controls (NEC; n=10), patients with MCI (n=18), and patients with mild AD (n=20).
- All participants completed the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) and Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA)
- MCI and AD patients completed a battery of neuropsychological tests assessing their level of executive functioning.

Executive tests:

- Initiation/Perseveration from Dementia Rating Scale-2 (DRS-2)
- Abstract Conceptualization from the DRS-2
- Controlled Oral Word Association Test (COWAT)
- Trail-Making Test, Part B (TMT-B)
 Behavioral Dyscontrol Scale (BDS)

Subgroup Assignment:

- > eMCI participants had impairment (z \leq -1.0) on \geq 2 of the 5 executive measures in the battery
- > eAD participants had impairment (z \leq -1.5) on \geq 3 of the 5 executive measures.
- Behavioral Assessment:
 - The Frontal System Behavior Scale (FrSBe).

Analysis

Statistical tests included ANOVA, chi-square, student's t-tests, bivariate correlations, and discriminant function analysis.

Results

- The NEC, AD, and MCI groups (collapsed for executive dysfunction) did not differ significantly by sex or education.
- The AD group was older and performed more poorly on the MMSE and MoCA than the NEC and MCI groups.
- The NEC and MCI groups did not differ significantly by age, MMSE, or MoCA performance (Table 1).

Table 1:	Demographics	& Diagr	nostic group o	comp	arisons
(Mean ± S	D)				
Variable	NEC	MCI	AD	F	Overal

variable	NEO	WICH	ΑD	•	Overall
	(n=9)	(n=18)	(n=20)		р
Age	70.3±10.1ª	70.7 ± 7.5^{a}	79.0±8.1 ^b	5.92	.005
Education	15.2±4.1	15.0±2.7	14.1±2.4	.30	.740
MMSE	28.0±1.7ª	27.9±1.4ª	23.3±2.9 ^b	16.24	<.001
MoCA	26.1±2.1ª	23.8±3.1ª	18.4±3.1 ^b	16.44	<.001

Note: Superscripts with different letters identify groups that differed significantly from each other (p<.05) in post-hoc tests.

Subgroup analyses

- The eMCI group scored significantly lower on the MoCA than the MCI group, but the groups performed similarly on the MMSE (Table 2).
- The AD and eAD groups did not differ significantly in their performances on the MoCA, but the eAD group showed a statistical trend to perform more poorly on the MMSE (Table 2).

INCI VS. EIVICI	& AD VS. EAD	(Mean ±	SD)
MCI (n=10)	eMCI (n=8)	t	Overall <i>p</i>
28.3±1.3	27.4±1.4	1.43	.17
25.3±3.2	22.0±1.9	2.72*	.02
AD (n=10)	eAD (n=10)	t	р
24.5±1.5	22.1±3.4	2.02*	.07
19.2±2.6	17.6±3.5	1.16	.26
	MCI (n=10) 28.3±1.3 25.3±3.2 AD (n=10) 24.5±1.5 19.2±2.6	MCI (n=10) eMCI (n=8) 28.3±1.3 27.4±1.4 25.3±3.2 22.0±1.9 AD eAD (n=10) (n=10) (n=10) 24.5±1.5 22.1±3.4 19.2±2.6 17.6±3.5	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

equal variances not assumed

Classification analyses

- DFA showed that the MoCA but not the MMSE discriminated eMCI from MCI (Canonical corr (MoCA) = .54, Wilk's λ = .71, p = .02)
- A parallel DFA was not significant for the eAD vs. AD subgroup discrimination (p = .18)
- MoCA Item Analysis
 - The eMCI subgroup performed significantly more poorly than the MCI subgroup on memory items and on visuospatial/executive/abstraction items (Tables 3 & 4)
 - Visuospatial/executive/abstraction items were the best discriminator between the eMCI and MCI subgroups (canonical corr = .65, Wilk's A = .56,, p = .003)

Table 3: Cognitive domains assessed in MoCA

Cognitive Domain	Items on the MoCA that assess this domain
Visuospatial / Executive / Abstraction	Trail-making, cube copy, clock drawing, and similarities
Language	Naming, repetition, and fluency
Attention	Digit span, vigilance, and serial subtraction
Memory	5-word delayed recall
Orientation	Orientation to time and place, comprised of 6 components

Table 4: MoCA Domains in MCI vs. eMCI

	MCI (n=10)	eMCI (n=8)	F	p
Visu/Exec/Abstr	6.0±.7	4.4±1.3	11.83	.003
Language	5.1±.9	5.0±1.3	.04	.85
Attention	5.6±.7	5.5±.8	.09	.78
Memory	2.6±2.0	.88±1.2	4.48	.05
Orientation	5.9±.3	5.75±.4	.67	.43

The MMSE correlated significantly with apathy and disinhibition in the AD group (Table 5). There were no significant correlations in the MCI group.

Table 5: Correlation between MMSE & MoCA and FrSBe

MCI	Apathy	Disinhibition	Dysexecutive	Total
MMSE	169	129	248	254
MoCA	264	068	135	219
AD	Apathy	Disinhibition	Dysexecutive	Total
MMSE	483*	544*	304	519*
MoCA	318	302	198	307

*significant at the .05 level (2-tailed)

Note: MCI & AD groups are collapsed across executive dysfunction

Conclusions

- The results support the hypothesis that the MoCA is more sensitive than the MMSE to executive impairment in MCI.
- This advantage appears to be driven by the MoCA items that assess executive function.
- The advantage of the MoCA for identifying higher levels of executive impairment in MCI is absent in patients who meet the diagnostic criteria for AD.
- The lack of significant correlations between MoCA performance and the FrSBe variables in the MCI group could reflect relatively limited behavioral disturbance in MCI.
- There may have been insufficient statistical power due to small sample size to detect differences between MCI patients and controls on the MoCA.

References

- Ruffolo, J.S. et al. Arch of Clin Neuropsy 20(7), 848-9. (2005).
 Petersen, R.C. et al. Arch Neurol 58(12), 1985-92. (2001).
 Bischkopf, J. et al. Arch Psychiatrica Scandinavica, 106(6), 403-14. (2002).
- [4] Chen, P. et al. *Neurology* 55(12), 1847-53 (2000).
- [5] Gauthier, S. *Clin Diagnosis and Management of AD.* (1996).
 [6] Nasreddine, Z.S. et al. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 53(4), 695-99 (2005).